# THE NEWSLETTER

# OF THE COMMITTEE

# ON MASONIC EDUCATION

FALL 1991 (4th Quarter) VOL. 11 NO. 2

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

THE PILLARS	8
PILLARS AND GLOBES, COLUMNS AND CANDLESTICKS	15
THE PILLARS	15
THE FIRST TWO PILLARS IN CRAFT TRADITION	16
SOLOMON'S PILLARS IN THE RITUAL	16
CHAPITERS, GLOBES AND BOWLS	
MASONRY UNIVERSAL	23
THE PILLARS AS ARCHIVES	24
THREE LIGHTS: THREE PILLARS: THREE CANDLESTICKS	25
THREE LIGHTS	26
THREE PILLARS	26
THREE CANDLESTICKS	
THE GROWTH OF MASONIC SYMBOLISM	29
GLOBES ON THE PILLARS	
NOTEABLE CANADIAN FREEMASONS	
Joseph WIld (1834-1908)	33
QUESTIONS & ANSWERS	34

**EDITOR** 

R. W. Bro. Robert A. Barnett

EDITOR EMERITUS

M.W. Bro. David C. Bradley

#### **EDITORIAL ADVISORS**

R.W. Bro. John W. Aucldand R.W. Bro. Lloyd W. Lawrence R.W. Bro. Gerald E. MacDonald W. Bro. Norman Pearson RAW. Bro. Robert T. Runciman W. Bro. William M. White

> Annual Subscription Rate: \$12.00 Includes 4 quarterly issues

Hardbound Edition: \$15.00 Includes 4 issues in one volume

SEND ORDERS/PAYMENTS OR ARTICLES TO

'Masonic Education—Newsletter' c/o Robert A. Barnett—Editor P.O. Box 4217 London, Ontario N5W 5J1

#### **To All Contributors**

The factual accuracy an article is the contributor's responsibility. The opinions expressed by the authors do not necessarily reflect those of the Grand Lodge, A.F. & A.M. of Canada, in the Province of Ontario, nor of the Committee on Masonic Education.

**Size of Articles:** Material submitted for The Newsletter should reflect consideration of both the physical size of the publication, and the readability of the piece. Our pages run 300-325 words per page, so a maximum of about 1200-1300 words is the limit. Articles can also be one-paragraph notes of interest, or any length in between. Longer articles of special merit should be printed in sections over several issues.

**Credits:** Where possible, please include credit for your information source or research sources. Because of the nature of The Newsletter and its sources of material (volunteer contributors), there has never been an effort made to seek permission to reprint. There has always been a credit mention for a source when it has been known and also for the contributor's name and Lodge.

If any of the material in The Newsletter is reprinted, we ask that the acknowledged credits be included a well as a mention of The Newsletter issue. Use of information from The Newsletter publications should be encouraged. We would appreciate receiving a copy of any publication in which the material from The Newsletter appears.

**An Invitation**: The Newsletter is published by The Grand Lodge Committee on Masonic Education. We welcome responses from all our readers. If any of our contributors or subscribers have access to historical information about their District, or Lodge, or special individuals, please forward it to the editor. Much of our Masonic History is also linked to the history of our country through members who have been community, business, professional, religious or political leaders. Careful research of material made available should provide some interesting information for newsletter readers. It would also help to educate us all about the contributions of individual members, Lodges and Districts ....to the history of our country, provinces, and our villages, towns and cities. We need to know more about each other and about the part that Canadian Masons have played in our history. Can you help?

## SUBSCRIPTION EXPIRY DATES

**You Have Been Asking:** How to tell when your subscription expires. There is a way for you to identity your subscription expiry date by looking at your computer produced mailing label on the envelope that brings your Newsletter. The computer presently in use can record and print a telephone number on our labels, but not an expiry date! The use of the ability to print the telephone number is being fudged a

bit to identity expiry dates. That means that if your label shows a line under your address, Tel. (109) it tells you that your expiry date is 1991, **9th** month – Sept ( $3^{rd}$  Qtr./Summer issue). If the label reads Tel. (206) it indicates an expiry date of JUNE/92. Our printer maintains, on a computer, the complete sub- scriber name and address list, and also generates and applies the mailing labels for each issue. The renewal notices are included in each envelope when the label indicates that the expiry date is coming up soon.

If you miss an issue, or change your address, drop us a line as soon as possible so we can keep all our records in good order.

> Masonic Education - Newsletter c/o-Robert A. Barnett P.O. Box 4217, London, Ont. N5W 5J1

## **NOTICE** Copies of the latest hardbound editions of THE NEWSLETTER (which contain all of four issues of each volume) ARE AVAILABLE

Some of the earlier hardbound editions are now sold out.

#### ANNUAL HARDBOUND EDITIONS

There are a few copies of the Hardbound Volume No. 4 still remaining. Also, copies of Hardbound Volume 7. These earlier Volumes are available at \$12.00 each. Volume No. 8 and the new Volume No. 9 (hot from the binder's shop) are available at \$15.00 each. Hardbound editions contain a copy of each of the that volumes quarterly issues.

SINGLE COPIES OF THE QUARTERLY BACK ISSUES ARE STILL AVAILABLE. The supply of some of the single issues is very thin and there are a few that are "Sold Out"

- All single back issues up to Vol. 4 No. 4, \$1.00; each
- Single back issues from Vol. 5 No. 1 to Vol. 6 No. 4 S2.00 ea.
- Vol. 7 No. 1 to current Issue \$2.50 ea.

## SEND INQUIRIES/ORDERS/PAYMENTS/ARTICLES TO; 'MASONIC EDUCATION NEWSLETTER' c/o ROBERT A. BARNETT - EDITOR P.O. BOX 4217 LONDON, ONTARIO NEW 5J1

### ....NOTICE ... THE COLLEGE OF FREEMASONRY

BRETHREN: The Committee on Masonic Education is still offering its challenging Correspondence Course throughout the Grand Jurisdiction. Because of the interest that continues to be shown, it now is being offered in a new format. That same twinge of excitement, that same challenge, that same desire to delve into and find out more about the Craft is there-all we have done is to separate the four programs so that YOU may now choose in which order you wish to complete them. You may choose to complete only one-or you may choose to complete two of the four offered-or all four-you may take as many, or as few, of the programs as you wish and in the order you wish.

Upon the completion of each program a certificate will be awarded, but to become a member of THE COLLEGE OF FREEMASONRY, you still must complete all four programs.

The cost for each program is \$20.00 payable in advance to:

Edsel C. Steen, 286 Lorne Ave. Wallaceburg, Ont. N8A 3Z2

Brethren, a real opportunity - don't miss it - broaden your masonic knowledge - EDUCATION IS THE KEY TO YOUR MASONIC FUTURE. (**Note:** This course requires access to reference material readily available in this jurisdiction – and parts of the course pertain specifically to this jurisdiction).

A supply of the new Application Forms is being forwarded to your District Education Chairman. Be sure to contact him. Good Luck

## THE PILLARS

The September 1976 Transactions of the United Masters Lodge No.167 of New Zealand described the August meeting: "In place of the usual Paper, the August meeting of the Lodge was devoted to Questions and Answers. The Brethren gave prepared answers to the questions and discussion followed."

**Question 8:** 

(a) Were the two great pillars, B. and J. cast in one mass or in two sections?(b) Had they any significance other than as a reminder of the pillars of fire and cloud?

Answer by Bro. R. Adams:

(a) This question is one which has occupied my mind for many years and for which unfortunately, there does not seem to be a universally accepted answer. However, it is my opinion that the Pillars would have been produced as single castings, by moulding them vertically in the ground. The chapiters or capitals cast separately and placed on top of the pillars after they had been established, were placed over the 'pommels' or dome shape of the chapiters, to add the finishing touch. The method of casting the pillars, as proposed herewith, is based solely on how I think that the task could have been most easly accomplished, with the technology and labour resources believed available at that period, and which may be divided into four fundamental steps.

#### 1. The Mould; 2. The Core; 3. Melting and Pouring; 4. Cleaning.

Details of the processes involved in each of the operations listed above are briefly as follows.

THE MOULD -The easiest and most usual method of producing a mould cavity for large cylindrical castings, such as these two pillars, is by means of a 'Strickle' or Sweep Board. A strickle is the name given to a flat piece of wood used for levelling grain in a measure, and sweep recalls the rubbing action of a brush or broom. In a foundry, these terms are used for pieces of wood, (sometimes metal faced) which remove extra thickness of moulding sand, after it has been built up to the approximate shape. The mould is built up with large bricks, these are then plastered over with a thick layer of moulding sand, and the final shape of the mould cavity being obtained with a strickle or sweep board made to the desired profile and measurements. In setting up strickle tackle, the moulder erects a central vertical post which can be rotated by means of a horizontal beam fastened to its



upper end, then with the aid of a wooden profile of the desired shape, attached to the central post, he can then strickle or sweep out a perfectly circular cavity in the moulding sand. Now moulding sands may contain from two to fifty percent of clay, depending on its use, which with a suitable water content, forms the principle source of strength and plasticity of the moulding sand. Clay is the bond or binder of moulding sands, and without it the sand would not maintain its shape when dried out. In certain parts of the world, deposits of sand and clay occur mixed naturally in proper proportions, and can be mined and used directly for moulding purposes, known as 'naturally bonded sand.' Such deposits are to be found in this country, the main one being at Green Island, just south of Dunedin, and is the principle source of moulding sand for the foundries throughout New Zealand. It is possible then that similar deposits may have existed in the plain of Jordan, between Succoth and Zeredathah, where the pillars were cast, II Chron. c4 v 11, 17 refers. Preparation of the mould and the actual casting must have taken place during the long dry season as water and metal form a very explosive mixture.

Firstly a rough hole would have been dug to the required overall depth, in this case something in excess of twenty seven feet, a footstep bearing or anchor block embedded into the bottom of the hole, and the floor bricked over to form a solid level foundation. The sides of the hole would then be bricked up to ground level. Then the central vertical post would be established in the hole, its lower end located in the foot step bearing, while its upper end is held just free to rotate, within a suitable wooden bracing or system of rope stays. Sweep boards are then attached and set to the desired radius. The sides of the bricked cavity are then plastered over with a thick layer of moulding clay and strickled to a smooth finish, small surface defects corrected by hand. The accompanying illustration gives some idea of the proposed method of operation. Once completed, the mould cavity would have been dried and baked hard to enable it to withstand the heavy rush of molten metal. This same method would have been used to produce the chapiters or capitals.

THE CORE -At the same time as the outer mould cavity was being formed, cylindrical core pieces would have been manufactured, also by means of a strickle. The impossible task of handling a relatively soft core, twenty seven feet long and weighing twenty eight tons, could have been overcome by making it in several pieces. These core pieces would have been of an outside diameter sufficient to give a hand thickness of metal to the finished casting, (about three and one half inches), and of sufficient size and weight, as to be handled without breaking. They would have been designed so as to be capable of being lifted and accurately stacked one upon another when lowered into the mould. After being baked hard by some

suitable form of firing, they could then be lowered into the mould cavity to form the central core.

MELTING AND POURING - This would have been the most difficult part of the whole operation, for although copper based alloys had already been produced for upwards of two thousand years at that time, it is the size of the melt, in an apparently remote region, that makes it so awe inspiring. All surviving artifacts of the Bronze Age are small objects, infinitely easier to cast than these pillars which would have required some thirty tons of bronze apiece, with the chapiters weighing an estimated five to six tons each. In 1938 Dr. Nelson Glueck discovered the remains of King Solomon's copper smelter-refinery at Ezion-Geber, the furnaces of which were so well constructed that some of the mud brick walls still stood to their original height, with their double rows of flues, turned green by Sulphurous gases, still clearly visible. This industrial complex, complete with its mines and worker housing estates, was so large that it was only surpassed for size in fairly recent times. Siting the refinery at Ezion-Geber was especially chosen for the way the surrounding hill funnelled the prevailing northwest winds through the rows of flue holes, thus supplying a forced draught to the furnaces without recourse to large bellows. These discoveries by Dr. Glueck point out that the basic principles of furnace design were well understood at the time the pillars were cast.

Dr. Glueck is of the opinion that all the copper used in the building of K.S.T. came from the refinery at Ezion-Geber, and was transported 180 miles north to the casting site in the plain of Jordan. The melting point of copper based alloys are well within the range of wood charcoal fires; to reduce the size of the melt to manageable proportions, more than one furnace would be used at the casting site. At the appropriate moment the furnaces could be tapped and the molten metal directed to suitable channels directly into the awaiting mould, which being open at the top, would allow easy escape of the gases and fumes that are generated during the pour. It is also certain that the pillars were cast in bronze. Brass is a mixture of copper and zinc, and zinc was unknown in early times; brass is more difficult to cast than bronze, and a different type of furnace is required, or the zinc would be converted into a gas and driven off.

CLEANING -Once the casting had cooled sufficiently it could be removed by digging away one side of the mould to form a long incline. The casting could then be tipped over onto this sloping surface, the central core cleaned out to reduce weight, and finally moved up the incline to level ground. CONCLUSION -I believe the pillars were cast in one piece as it appears the easier method; casting in several sections pose some additional problems for the rnoulder, especially where the joints occur. Squaring the ends of the sections to ensure straight even columns on final assembly would also have been a mammoth task for those times. The plastic nature of bronze may have allowed the ends to have been squared by hammering, without the use of cutting tools, but each end to be so treated would be eighteen feet in circumference and three and one half inches wide. However whether cast in one piece or in sections the proposed method of making the mould by strickling would have been the same as described herein. Against the comparative ease or difficulty of transporting these pillars, we may bear in mind the pair of Obelisk's in front of the Temple at Karnak, erected some centuries before Solomon's Pillars, and which are said to be almost eighty-eight feet in height and weigh three hundred and fifty tons each.

Unlike King Solomon's copper smelter at Ezion-Geber, any theories surrounding these pillars are not supported by archaeological discovery of any kind. No evidence of the actual casting site in the plain of Jordan has ever been found, and comparatively little work has ever been done on the site of the Temple. The generally accepted site where Solomon's Temple once stood, is now occupied by the Mosque of Omar, or Dome of The Rock, as it is sometimes known, and any reluctance to have this holy place excavated and disturbed is quite understandable. Archaeological finds continue to substantiate the details and general background of Biblical accounts; some day fresh evidence may be brought to light and solve this question beyond any doubt, in the meantime we can only speculate and marvel.

(b) There has been a great deal of speculation as to the possible meaning and significance of the Two Pillars, and the suggestedly phallic origin has done little to settle the controversy. To answer the question properly, it is necessary to divide it into two parts. 1. Their original significance to the people of that era, and 2. Their adopted significance to us as Freemasons.

First comes the question of why they were given names at all; it appears to have been the custom amongst ancient Mid-Eastern peoples to give names to sacred objects or buildings. It is to be found in the book of Exodus c 17 v 15 that, in celebration of the Israelite's victory over the Amalakites, Moses built an altar and called the name of it Adonai-nissi, (the Lord is my banner). So it is reasonably certain that the two pillars were not just objects of architectural function, but must have been sacred, because of the peculiar names given to them.

Now as to the second part, it is my intention to deal only with their significance adapted to Freemasonry.

Apart from their being a reminder of the pillars of fire and of cloud, another Masonic tradition has evolved over the years in the belief that the pillars were used to store written records. presumably based on a description of the pillars being hollow, as given in Jeremiah c 52 v 21. This tradition is found in Rituals as far back as the beginning of the nineteenth century, as exemplified in the Finch Masonic Treatise (1802). The relevant portion of the Catechism reads:

Question: Were they cast hollow or solid? Answer: Hollow. Question: Why so? Answer: The better to serve as Archives to Masonry, and to hold the Constitutional Rolls.

This explanation has also been preserved in Emulation, thus further accentuating a long standing belief in the supposedly organized state of Freemasonry at the time of the building of King Solomon's Temple.

This also reflects a tradition, faithfully and quite literally preserved in Lodge La Cesaree, No.590 -an English Lodge in St. Helier working in French, where it is said that 'when the Warrant is to be shown to the candidate, it is produced from the interior of an ornate pillar,' an obvious reminder of the Legend of The Hollow Pillars.

Special significance is also given to one of the Pillars in the Second Degree, when we speak of K.S.T. and a pillar which was named after an Assistant High Priest ... who officiated at its dedication.

Another relatively recent practice is to top the two pillars with spherical balls on which are delineated maps of the celestial and terrestrial Globes, and thus Masonry Universal, and also perhaps an oblique reference to the Seven Liberal Arts and Sciences, taken from the Legend of the Antediluvian Pillars.

In conclusion I feel obliged to point out that, apart from their undoubted value in portraying the moral and spiritual lessons of the Craft, the foregoing examples of imaginative allegory have no solid basis in fact.

The reason the analogies are not considered entirely exact are briefly as follows:

- 1. In reality there were not two separate pillars (one of Fire and one of Cloud) but one pillar only; a pillar which appeared to be cloud when viewed in the light of day but which turned fiery in the darkness of night, Exodus 14:20.
- 2. As to the pillars being formed hollow, 'to serve as archives to Freemasonry', for therein were deposited the constitutional rolls. There was not Freemasonry then, and there were no rolls.
- 3. The pillar Jachin appears in 1 Kings, 7:21 and again in II Chron. 3:17 and it was named, according to custom in Bible lands with a commemorative name, which means 'He (God) will establish.' Neither the pillar nor its name had anything to do with the wrongly styled Assistant High Priest. The two pillars were completed and named before the dedication of the Temple, and each of the names chosen was intended to express Solomon's gratitude to the Almighty. The Masonic use of the name belongs strictly to the pillar alone.
- 4. Lastly, the celestial and terrestrial globes, now generally found on top of the pillars, are perhaps a mistaken allusion to the 'pommels' referred to in the Chronicles described of the Pillar Chapiters, which were believed to have been spherical or at least ovoid in shape. At all events, they could not have been delineated with celestial or terrestrial maps, the spherical shape of the world was unknown at that time. The Jews of Solomon's time, like the Babylonians, believed in the flat shape of the earth.

*Bibliograhy:* King Solomon's Temple in the Masonic Tradition: Alex Horne; The Freemason At Work: Harry Carr; A.Q.O. Vol. XXI; National Geographic Vol. 85, 1944: Dr. Nelson Glueck.

# PILLARS AND GLOBES, COLUMNS AND CANDLESTICKS

In the QC Lodge summons, dated 22 December 1961, there was a brief note relating to the Wardens' Columns which attracted considerable attention and comment. As author of the note, and Secretary of the Lodge, I had to answer a number of letters on that subject and on several other topics closely allied to it. During the course of this work it became obvious that there is much confusion on the subject of Pillars, Globes, Columns and Candlesticks, on the dates and stages of their introduction into Craft usage, and most of all, perhaps, on the curious way in which some of these items (which originally had places in the ritual, or furnishings, in their own right) are now made to serve a dual purpose, thereby adding to the confusion as to their origins.

There are, apparently, two main reasons for these difficulties. First, we have grown so accustomed to seeing our present-day Lodges all more or less uniformly furnished that we accept the furnishings and their symbolism without question. Secondly, the Lectures on the tracing Boards are given rarely nowadays so that Brethren are unfamiliar with the subject, or with the problems that are involved. This essay was compiled, therefore, not with the intention of answering all the questions that arise, if indeed that were possible, but in order to separate the various threads which are now so badly entangled.

As these various items appear in our modern procedure, there is an extraordinary mixture of ritual- references with odd items of furniture, some of which had a purely practical origin, while others were purely symbolical. I have tried to deal with each of these features separately, showing, as far as possible, their first introduction into the Craft, and tracing the various stages through which they passed into our present usage.

### THE PILLARS

Extract from the Lecture on the Second Tracing Board: ...the two great pillars which were placed in the porch way entrance on the south side ...they were formed hollow, the better to serve as archives to Freemasonry, for therein were deposited the constitutional Rolls ...These pillars were adorned with two chapiters ...(and) ...with two spheres on which were delineated maps of the celestial and terrestrial globes, pointing our 'Masonry universal'.

## THE FIRST TWO PILLARS IN CRAFT TRADITION

The two earliest pillars in the literature of the Craft are those described in the legendary history which forms part of the *Cooke* MS c1410, and many later versions of the Old Charges. The story goes that they were made by the four children of Lamech, in readiness for the feared destruction of the world by fire or flood. One of the pillars was made of marble, the other of *lacerus* (ie *lateres* or burnt brick) because the first 'would not burn', and the other 'would not drown', They were intended as *a* means of preserving 'all the sciences that they had found', which they had carved or engraved on the two pillars.

This legend dates back to the early apocryphal writings, and in the course of centuries a number of variations arose in which the story of the indestructible pillars remained fairly constant, although their erection was attributed to different heroes. Thus, Josephus ascribed them to Seth, while another apocryphal version says they were built by Enoch.\*

For some reason, not readily explained, the early MC *Constitutions* favour the children of lamech as the principals in this ancient legend, which was embodied in the texts to show how all the then-known sciences were preserved for mankind by this early piece of practical mason work.

The Old Charges were designed primarily to display the antiquity and high importance of the Craft, and it is highly significant that Solomon's two pillars *do not appear* in the early versions. David and Solomon are named among a long list of biblical and historical characters who '... loved masons well...' and gave or confirmed 'their charges', but Solomon's Temple receives only a casual mention, and the pillars are not mentioned at all. It seems fairly certain, therefore, that in the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries Solomon's two pillars had no special significance for the mason craft.

### SOLOMON'S PILLARS IN THE RITUAL

\*For an excellent survey of pre-Christian and other early versions and variations of this legend, see Knoop, Jones and Hamer *The Two Earliest Masonic MSS*, pp 39-44 and 162-63.

The first appearance of Solomon's pillars in the Craft ritual is in the *Edinburgh Register House MS*, 1696, in a catechism associated with the 'Mason Word' ceremonies.

The earliest-known reference to the 'Mason Word' appears in 1637, in a diary-entry made by the Earl of Rothes, and although no kind of ceremony is described in that record, it is reasonable to assume that the 'Mason Word' ceremonies were already known and practised at that date. The *Edinburgh Register House* MS is the oldest surviving document which describes the actual *procedure* of the ceremonies. The text is in two parts. One section, headed 'The Forme of Giveing the Mason Word', describes the rather rough and ready procedure for the admission of an entered apprentice, Including ceremonies to frighten the candidate, an oath, a form of 'greeting', and certain verbal and physical modes of recognition. There is also a separate and similar procedure for the 'master mason or fellow craft'. (Only two degrees were known at that time.)

The second part of this text is a catechism of some seventeen questions and answers, fifteen for the EA and a further two for the master or FC. It is probable that these questions, with the obligation, entrusting and greeting, represent the whole of the 'spoken-work' of the ceremonies at that time.

The questions are of two kinds:

- (a) Questions for the purpose of recognition.
- (b) Informative questions for the purpose of instruction and explanation.

Among these we find the first faint hints of the beginning of Masonic symbolism. A question in the catechism of 1696, and in six of the texts that followed soon after, runs:

Q: Where was the first lodge? A: In the porch of Solomon's Temple.

Now, the *Edinburgh Register House* MS is a complete text; no part of it has been lost or obliterated during the 290 years or so since it was written, in 1696. In fact, there are several related texts belonging to the next twenty years, which amply demonstrate its completeness. It is therefore noteworthy that in this whole group of texts the two earlier pillars, built by the children of Lamech, have virtually disappeared. Barely a hint of them remains in any of the *ritual documents* from 1696 onwards. The *Dumfries No.4* MS c1710, is a version of the Old Charges which has been greatly enlarged by a collection of ritual questions and answers, with many items of religious interpretation. In its first part, it has the expected reference to the four children of Lamech and their two pillars, but towards the end of the catechism the pillars are mentioned again:

Q: Where (was) the noble art or science found when it was lost? A: It was found in two pillars of stone the one would not sink the other would not burn.

This is followed by a long passage of religious interpretations saying that Solomon named his own two pillars in reference to 'ye two churches of ye Jews & gentiles ...' That need not concern us here, but Solomon's pillars are not normally mentioned in the Old Charges, and the appearance of both sets of pillars in the two parts of the *Dumfries MS*, suggests that when the ceremonies were shaped to contain Solomon's J and B, *the earlier 'indestructible' pair were abandoned*.

There is, in fact, no evidence that they had ever formed any part of the *admission ceremonies*, but we know very little about the ceremonies in their earliest forms. It seems fairly certain, however, that Solomon's pillars had achieved a really important place in the Craft ritual in the early 1600s.

Soon after their first mention in the early ritual-texts these two pillars became a regular part of the 'furnishings' of the lodge, and it is possible to trace them from their earliest introduction up to their present place in the lodge-room, as follows:

(1) Their first appearance as part of a question in the catechism, with much additional evidence that they had some esoteric significance. The early catechisms are particularly interesting in this respect, because they indicate that both of Solomon's Pillar-names belonged at one time to the EA ceremony.

(2) They were drawn on the floor of the lodge in chalk and charcoal, forming part of the earliest versions of our modern 'Tracing Boards'. In December, 1733, the minutes of the Old King's Arms Lodge No.28, record the first step towards the purchase of a 'Floor Cloth'. (*AQC*, vol xii, p 236. 'Drawings' on the floor of the lodge are recorded in the minutes of the Old Dundee Lodge, No.18, from 1748 onwards. The *Herault Letter* of 1737 describes *the* 'Drawing', and the later French

exposures, from 1744 onwards, contain excellent engravings showing both pillars (marked J and B) on the combined EA and FC fioor-drawing.

(3) Between c1760 and 1765 several English exposures of the period indicate that the Wardens each had a column representing one of the Pillars, as part of his personal equipment in the lodge. The following extract is typical:

'The Senior and Junior Wardens have each of them a Column in their Hand, about Twenty Inches long, which represents the two Columns of the Porch at Solomon's Temple, Boaz and Jachin.

> The Senior is Boaz, or Strength. The Junior is Jachin, or to establish.

(From Three Distinct Knocks, 1760)

(4) Finally, the two pillars appear as handsome pieces of furniture, perhaps four to eight feet high, standing usually at the western end of the lodge room.

The earliest descriptions of the lay-out of the lodge in the 1700s show both Wardens in the west, facing the Master. The two pillars were generally placed near them, forming a kind of portal, so that the candidates passed between them on their admission, a custom which exists in many lodges to this day.

This was perhaps the last development of all, though some of the wealthier lodges may have possessed such pillars at a comparatively early date. When we consider how many lodge rooms (especially in the provinces) still use pairs of large pillars, it is surprising that the eighteenth- and nineteenth-century inventories make no mention of them. Probably this was because they were part of the equipment of Masonic Halls, so that they belonged to the landlords and not to the various lodges that used the rooms.

So we trace the two pillars from their first appearance as part of a question in the ritual through various stages of development until they became a prominent feature of lodge furniture.

But modern practices are not uniform in regard to the pillars; in London, for example, there are very few lodges which have the tall pillars, but they are always depicted on the second T.B., and they appear in miniature on the Wardens' pedestals.

#### **CHAPITERS, GLOBES AND BOWLS**

The biblical descriptions of Solomon's pillars give rise to many problems, especially as regards their dimensions and ornamentation. For us, the chapiters, bowls or globes which surmounted them are of particular interest, because of ritual developments and expansions during the eighteenth century.

In this particular problem a great deal depends on the interpretation of the original Hebrew text. The chapiters appear in I Kings, VII, 16: '... and he made two chapiters ...'

The word is Ko-thor-oth = chapiters, capitals or crowns. Later, in verse 41, without mention of any further works, the text speaks of '... the two pillars and the two bowls of the chapiters... ' The Hebrew reads Gooloth Ha-ko-thor-oth, and the word Gooloth is a problem. Goolah (singular) means a bailor globe; also, a bowl or vessel, and various forms of the same root are used quite loosely to describe something round or spherical.

Our regular contacts with modern lodge Tracing- Boards and furnishings have accustomed us to the idea that Solomon's two pillars were surmounted by chapiters or capitals, with a globe resting on each, but that is not proven. The early translators and illustrators of the Bible were by no means unanimous on this point, and the various terms they used to describe the chapiters, etc., show that they were not at all certain as to the appearance of the pillars. To take one example, the Geneva Bible, of 1560, a very handsome and popular illustrated Bible, which provided the interpretation for some of the proper names and seems to have been much used by the men who framed the Masonic ritual.

At *I Kings*, VII, v. 16, '...and he made two chapiters...', there is a marginal note, 'Or pommels', ie globular features. At this stage the Geneva Bible clearly indicates that the chapiters were globes or spheres, and not the crown-shaped heads to the pillars that we would understand them to be.

Among the illustrations to this chapter in the Geneva Bible there are several interesting engravings of the Temple and its equipment, including a sketch of a pillar, surmounted by a shallow capital, with an ornamental globe poised on top. A marginal note to this illustration speaks of 'The height of the *chapiter or round ball* upon the pillar of five cubites hight...' (My italics.) So the chapiter was a round ball.

At II *Chron.*, IV, v. 12, the same Bible gives a new interpretation, '...two pillars, *and the bowles, and the chapiters* on the top of the two pillars...' Here it is evident that the 'bowles' and the chapiters were two separate features.

Whether we incline to bowls or globes, there is yet another interpretation which would exclude both. The accounts in both *Kings* and *Chrionicles* refer to the pomegranate decoration which was attached to the 'bowles' or bellies *of* the chapiters (I Kings, VII, v. 41' 42, and II *Chron.*, IV, V. 12, 13), and from these passages it is a perfectly proper inference that the chapiters were themselves 'bowl-shaped', and that there were neither bowls nor globes above them.

Although the globes were finally adopted in Masonic furniture and decoration as head-pieces to Solomon's Pillars, they came in very slowly, and during a large part of the eighteenth century there was no uniformity of practice on this point. The *Trahi*, one of the early French exposures, contains several engravings purporting to be 'Plans' of a *Loge de Reception;* in effect they are Tracing Boards for the 1st and 2nd combined, and another for the 3rd degree. The Apprentice Plan contains illustrations of the two pillars, marked J and B, both conventional Corinthian pillars, with *flat tops*. There is also, among a huge collection of symbols, a sketch which is described in the Index as a 'sphere', a kind of lattice-work globe (actually an armillary sphere) used in astronomy to demonstrate the courses of the stars and planets.

The Lodge of Probity, No.61, Halifax (founded in 1738), was in serious decline in 1829, and an inventory of its possessions was taken at that time. One item reads: 'Box with Globes and Stands'.

The Phoenix Lodge, No.94, Sunderland (founded in 1755), has a pair of eighteenth-century globes, each mounted on three legs, standing left and right of the Master's pedestal. All Souls' Lodge, No.170 (founded in 1767), had until 1888 a handsome pair of globes, each mounted on a tripod base, clearly of eighteenth-century style, similarly placed left and right of the WM. The Lodge of Peace and Unity, No.314. Preston (founded in 1797), in a recent sketch of its lodge-room, shows a pair of globes on low, three-Iegged stands, placed on the floor of the lodge, left and right, a yard or two in front of the SW.

Among the unique collection of lodge equipment known as the 'Bath Furniture' is a pair of globes, 'celestial and terrestrial'. on low four-leqqed stands, and the minutes show that they were presented to the Royal Cumberland Lodge in 1805. It is interesting to observe that the equipment also includes a handsome pair of brass pillars, each about 5 ft. 9 in. in height, standing as usual in the west, and each of them surmounted with a large brass bowl. These date from the late eighteenth century.

In this case especially, as in all the cases cited above, there is no evidence of globes on top of the BJ pillars; the globes formed a part of the lodge equipment entirely in their own right.

The frontispiece to Noorthouck's *Constitutions* of 1784 is a symbolical drawing in which the architectural portion represents the interior of the then Free Mason's Hall. At the foot of the picture, in the foreground, is a long table bearing several Masonic tools and symbols, with two globes on tripod stands, and the description of the picture refers to '...the Globes and other Masonic Furniture and Implements of the Lodge'.

All this suggests that the globes were beginning to play some part in the lodge, or in the ritual, *although they were not yet associated with the pillars*. But even after the globes or bowls had begun to appear *on* the pillars, there was still considerable doubt as to what was correct. This is particularly noticeable in early Tracing Boards and decorated aprons, some showing 'bowls', and other 'globes'. (See illustrations, pp 140-41 in AQC, vol. I xxiv, for pillars with bowls, and ibid, p. 52, where the pillars are surmounted by profuse foliage, growing presumably from bowls.)

To summarize: (1) In the period of our earliest ritual documents, 1969 to 1730, there is no evidence that the globes formed any part of the catechism or ritual, and it is reasonably certain that they were unknown as 'designs' or as furnishings in the lodges.

Around 1745 it is probable that the sphere or globe had been introduced as one of the symbols in the 'floor drawings' or Tracing Boards. There is no evidence to show that it appeared in the catechism. There are several highly-detailed catechisms belonging to this period, 1744 and later, but globes are not mentioned in any of them. The appearance of the sphere in the 1745 exposure is the only evidence suggesting that it played some part in the more or less impromptu explanations of lodge symbolism which probably came into practice about this time, or shortly afterwards.

In the 1760s and 1770s, Solomon's Pillars *with globes* appear frequently in illustrations of lodge equipment and on aprons, but there is no uniformity of

practice. In some lodges (as we have seen and shall see below) the globes were already a recognized part of the lodge furniture; elsewhere they surmounted the pillars, and were probably being 'explained' in 'lectures'. In other places the globes were virtually unknown.

#### MASONRY UNIVERSAL

The tradition that the globes on Solomon's Pillars were covered with celestial and terrestrial maps is certainly post-biblical, and appears to be a piece of eighteenth-century embroidery to the ritual. We may wonder how this interest in earthly and heavenly maps arose, and there seems to be no sure answer. The early catechisms, c1700 to 1730, all indicate a growing interest in the subject, eg:

Q: How high is your lodge?A: ...it reaches to heaven.\*...the material heavens and the starry firmament. \*\*

Q: How deep? # A: ...to the centre of the Earth. #

\* Sloane MS, c1700: Knoop, Jones and Hamer, The Early Masonic Catechisms, (E.M.C.). 2nd edn., 1963. p 48.
\*\* Dumfries No.4 MC. c1710, ibid., p 62.
# Pritchard's Masonry Dissected, 1730, ibid., p 162.

There are also the more frequent questions relating to the Sun, Moon and Master Mason, with subsequent variations and expansions. These questions may well be the first pointers towards the subsequent interest in maps, and the armillary sphere of 1745, noted above, carries the subject a stage further.

The Lodge Summons of the Old Dundee Lodge, dated c1750, showed three pillars, two of them surmounted by globes depicting *maps of the world and the firmament*. A certificate issued by the Lodge of Antiquity in 1777 displayed, *inter alia*, a similar pair of maps. The 1768 edition of *J. and a.* has an engraved frontispiece surmounted by globes-one with rather vague map markings, and the other clearly marked with stars.

The various sets of geographical globes in pairs; described above (not 'pillarglobes'), all indicate a deep Masonic interest in the celestial and terrestrial globes during the eighteenth century.

Preston, in his *Illustrations of Masonry*, 1775 edition, in the section dealing with the Seven Liberal Arts and Sciences, dwelt at some length on the globes and on the importance of astronomy and, of course, on the spiritual and moral lesson to be learned from them.

All this seems to imply that the maps were beginning to appear at this time, *in the verbal portions of the ritual*. The introduction of maps, 'celestial and terrestrial', led to a further development which eventually gave the Craft a phrase that has become a kind of hall-mark of Freemasonry everywhere. The first hint of that expression appeared in *I'Ordre des Framcs'Ma9ons Trahi*, 1745, which added a new question to those passages in the catechism:

Q. And its depth?From the Surface of the Earth to the Centre.Q. Why do you answer thus?A. To indicate, that Free-Masons are spread

A. To indicate, that Free-Masons are spread all over the Earth, and all together they form nevertheless only one Lodge.

In 1760, *Three Distinct Knocks* (Antient's ritual) altered the final answer very effectively:

Q. Why is your Lodge said to be from the Surface to the Centre of the earth? A. Because that Masonry is Universal.

In 1762, J. & a. (Moderns Ritual) gave the same answer, word for word. That is how we acquired the catchphrase 'Masonry Universal'.

#### THE PILLARS AS ARCHIVES

The biblical accounts of the casting of the pillars make no mention of their being cast hollow, although this may be inferred from the fact that, if they had been solid, their removal from Zeradatha and their final erection at Jerusalem would have been a quite exceptional feat of engineering. *Jeremiah, lii, v.* 21, *states that* they were formed hollow, the metal being cast to a thickness of 'four-fingers', but there is no suggestion that this was done so that the pillars might serve as

'armories'; or containers of any kind, or that Solomon used them for 'storing the constitutional Rolls'.

Here again is a curious piece of eighteenth-century 'Masonic embroidery', and it seems possible that this was an attempt to link the pillars of Solomon with the two earlier pillars upon which 'all the sciences' had been preserved. The earliest Masonic note I have been able to find on the subject is extremely vague. In 1769, Wellins Calcott wrote in his *Candid Disquisition*, p 66:

...neither are the reasons why they were made hollow known to any but those who are acquainted with the arcana of the society...

This was undoubtedly intended to suggest that the hollow pillars were designed to serve some peculiarly Masonic purpose, but Calcott says nothing more on the subject, and I have been unable to trace any such reason for hollow pillars in eighteenth-century Masonic ritual.

#### **THREE LIGHTS: THREE PILLARS: THREE CANDLESTICKS**

Seventeen Masonic documents have survived, dated from 1696 to 1730, and they provide the foundation for our study of the evolution of the ritual. The earliest of them is the *Edinburgh Register House MS (ERH)*, dated 1696, with a valuable description of the two-degree system of those days. The last of that series is Samuel Prichard's *Masonry Dissected (MD)*, which contains the oldest ritual of the three degrees, and the earliest version of the Hiramic legend. In all these early texts the ritual was mainly in the form of catechism, and we get some idea of its development during those thirty-five years when we compare these two documents. The first contains fifteen questions and answers *for* the EA, and two *for* the 'master *or* fellow-craft'. *Masonry Dissected* has 155 Q and A in all, i.e. ninety-two *for* the EA; thirty- three *for* the FC; thirty *for* the MM.

#### THREE LIGHTS

Twelve of the oldest rituals contain a question on the 'lights of the lodge';

Are there any lights in your lodge yes three...

(ERH, 1696)

The lights soon acquire a symbolic character, but originally they were probably candles or windows, with particular positions allocated to them, eg 'NE, SW, and eastern passage', or 'SE, S. and SW', etc., until we reach *MD* in 1730, which says the lights are three windows in the E, S and Wand their purpose is 'To light the Men to, at, and from their work'. *MD* distinguishes between symbolic lights and 'fix'd lights', explaining that the latter are 'large Candles placed on high Candlesticks'.

Symbolically, several texts say that the lights represent the Master, Warden and Fellow-craft. Four versions say 'Father, Son and Holy Ghost. Three others say twelve lights, 'Father, Son, Holy Ghost, Sun, Moon, Master-Mason, Square, Rule, Plum, Line, Mell, Chizzel'. All these are of the period *c1724-26*.

MD says 'Sun, Moon and Master-Mason' and after the question 'Why so?' he answers 'Sun to rule the Day, Moon the Night, and Master-Mason his Lodge'. So we trace the lights from their first appearance in our ritual up to the point where they acquire their modern symbolism.

#### **THREE PILLARS**

Extracts from the modern Lecture on the First Tracing Board.

Our Lodges are supported by three great pillars. They are called Wisdom, Strength and Beauty. Wisdom to contrive, Strength to support, and Beauty to adorn...but as we have no noble orders in architecture known by the names of Wisdom, Strength and Beauty, we refer them to the three most celebrated, which are, the Ionic, Doric and Corinthian.

The problems relating to the furnishings of the lodge do not end with Solomon's two pillars. As early as 1710 an entirely different set of three pillars makes its appearance in the catechisms and exposures. They appear for the first time in the *Dumfries No.4 MS*, which is dated about 1710:

- Q. How many pillars is in your lodge?
- A. Three.
- Q. What are these?
- A. Ye square the compass & ye Bible.

The three pillars do not appear again in the eleven versions of the catechisms between 1710 and 1730, but the question arises, with a new answer, in Prichard's *Masonry Dissected:* 

- Q. What supports a Lodge?
- A. Three great Pillars.
- Q. What are they called?
- A. Wisdom, Strength and Beauty.
- Q. Why so?
- A. Wisdom to contrive, Strength to support, and Beauty to adorn.

Almost identical questions appeared in the *Wilkinson MS* c1727, and in a whole series of English and European exposures throughout the eighteenth century, invariably with the same answer, 'Three. Wisdom to contrive. Strength to support, and Beauty to adorn'. But the descriptions of actual lodge furnishings in the early 1700s do not mention any *sets* of *three*, and it seems evident that these questions belong to a period long before there was any idea of turning them into actual pieces of furniture in the lodge-room.

Early lodge inventories are too scarce to enable us to draw definite conclusions from *the absence* of *references* to any particular items of lodge furnishings or equipment. While it is fairly certain, therefore, that the early operative lodges were only sparsely furnished, it is evident, from surviving eighteenth-century records, that in the 1750s there were already a number of lodges reasonably well equipped. A set of three pillars was mentioned in the records of the Nelson Lodge in 1757, and the Lodge of Relief, Bury, purchased a set of three pillars, for WM, SW and JW, in 1761. To this day, the ancient Lodge of Edinburgh (Mary's Chapel), No.1, now nearly 400 years old, uses a set of three pillars, each about three feet tall. The Master's pillar stands on the Altar, almost in the centre of the Lodge; the other two stand on the floor at the right of the SW and JW respectively. (The three principal officers, there, do not have pedestals.)

*Masonry Dissected* remained the principal stabilizing influence on English ritual until 1760, when a whole new series of English exposures began to appear, all displaying substantial expansion in the fioor-work of the ceremonies, and in their speculative interpretation. *Three Distinct Knocks* appeared in 1760, and J. & B. in 1762, claiming to expose respectively the rituals of the rival Grand Lodges, 'Antients' and 'Moderns'. Both of them now included several new questions and answers on the 'Three great Pillars' agreeing that 'they represent...The Master in the East...The Senior Warden in the West...(and) The Junior Warden in the South' with identical explanations of their individual duties in those positions.

It seems likely that these questions were originally intended only to mark the geographical positions of the pillars, but in that period of speculative development the explanations were almost inevitable.

#### THREE CANDLESTICKS

Apart from Prichard's note in the 1730s on 'large Candles placed on high Candlesticks', the first evidence of a *combination of these two sets of equipment* (that I have been able to trace) is in the records of the Lodge of Felicity, No.58, founded in 1737, when the Lodge ordered 'Three Candlesticks to be made according to the following orders Vizr. 1 Dorrick, 1 lonick, 1 Corrinthian and of Mahogany...'. In the Lodge inventory for Insurance in 1812 they had multiplied and were listed as 'Six Large Candlesticks. Mahogany with brass mountings and nossils, carv'd of the three orders'. In 1739, the Old Dundee Lodge ordered a similar set, still in use today.

The connection is perhaps not immediately obvious, but these were the architectural styles associated with the attributes of the three pillars belonging to the Master and Wardens, 'Wisdom, Strength and Beauty'. The Masonic symbolism of the three pillars had been explained by Prichard in 1730, and it is almost certain that these two Lodges were putting his words into practical shape when they had their candlesticks made up in those three styles.

These two early examples may serve as a pointer to what was happening, but it was not yet general practice, and early evidence of their combined use is scarce. But we can trace the sets of three pillars from their first appearance in the ritual as a purely symbolical question, in which they support the Lodge, and are called 'Wisdom, Strength and Beauty'. Later, they represent the three principal Officers, in the East, South, and West. From the time when they were being explained in this fashion, c1730 to 1760, it is fairly safe to assume that they were beginning to appear in the 'Drawings'. Floor-Cloths or tracing Boards. We know, of course, that they appeared regularly in the later versions, but the general pattern of their evolution seems to indicate that they were almost certainly included in many of the early designs that have not survived.

In the 1750s, and the 1760s, we have definite evidence (meagre indeed), that sets of three pillars were already in use as *furniture* in several lodges, and this adds strong support to the view that they had formerly appeared in the Tracing Boards. When, towards the end of the eighteenth century, the lodge rooms and Masonic Halls were being furnished for frequent or continuous use, the three pillars became a regular part of the furnishings, occasionally in their own right, but more often as the ornamental bases for the three 'lesser lights', thus combining the two separate features into the one so frequently seen today.

#### THE GROWTH OF MASONIC SYMBOLISM

The growth of the number of symbols, as illustrated in the French exposures of the 17405, and in the English version of the 17605, deserves some comment. In the Grand Lodge Museum there is a collection of painted metal templates, belonging apparently to several different sets. There are pillars with globes, a set of two small pillars without globes, and a separate set of three pillars. There is also a set of templates of 'Chapiters and Globes', i.e. headpieces only, clearly designed for adding the globes on to normal fiat-topped pillars. All these, with many other symbols, were used in drawing the 'designs' on the floor of the lodge. As early as 1737, when the 'floor-drawing' showed only 'steps' and two pillars, it was a part of the Master's duty to explain the 'designs' to the candidate, immediately after he had taken the obligation.\* There appears to have been no set ritual for this purpose, and the explanations were doubtless given impromptu. From 1742 onwards there is substantial evidence that the number of symbols had vastly increased, # and this would seem to indicate a real expansion in the 'explanations', implying some sort of dissertation akin to the later 'Lectures on the Tracing Boards'.

Many of these old symbols, which appear frequently on the later eighteenthcentury Tracing Boards and in contemporary engravings, etc., have now disappeared from our modern workings, among them the Trowel, Beehive, the Hour-glass, etc., and it is interesting to notice that in the USA, where much of our late eighteenth-century ritual has been preserved, these symbols, with many others, appear regularly on the Tracing Boards. In this brief essay, I have confined myself only to a few symbolized items of our present-day furnishings whose origins are liable to be clouded because of standardization, but there is a whole world of interest to be found in the remaining symbology of the Craft.

\*The *Herault Letter*, 1737. See translation in Leics. L. of Research Reprints, No. xiv.

# Le Catechisme des Francs-macons, 1742, and L'Ordre des Francs-macons Trahi, 1745, and in the Frontispiece of a whole stream of English exposures that began to make their appearance from 1762 onwards. All three texts are reproduced in English translation in *The Early French Exposures*, published by the Quatuor Coronati Lodge, No.2076.

# **GLOBES ON THE PILLARS**

#### Maps, Celestial and Terrestrial

Q: Why do we talk of the pillars, B. and J.. being crowned with 'two spheres on which were delineated maps of the celestial and terrestrial globes' when everybody, at that time, believed the world to be flat?

A. The Biblical account of the objects which surmounted the pillars is by no means clear. The original Hebrew word is goolot (plural) or goolah singular) and it may mean globes. bowls or vessels. Various forms of the same word are often used to describe anything circular or spherical. The Geneva Bible of 1560 was one of the early illustrated Bibles that contained a picture of the pillar surmounted by an ornamental sphere, not a map; but there are several illustrations, produced about the same time and later, showing the pillars surmounted by hemispheres or bowls, and the Authorized Version of the Bible at 1 Kings vii. v. 41. speaks of 'the two bowls of the chapiters that were on the top of the two pillars...' Whether they were really bowls or globes cannot now be determined, but it is quite certain that they were not maps, either celestial or terrestrial.

Solomon's Temple was completed. according to Usher, in 1005 B.C. (Graetz, the Jewish historian. says 1007). The earliest known map of the world is believed to have been designed, some 400 years later, by Anaximander (c. 611-546 B.C.) who held that it was flat and shaped like a cylinder of great thickness,

bounded round its circumference by water. and suspended in the circular vault of the heavens.

During the next 1500 years or so, the science of cartography made very little progress, although celestial globes were already known in the time of Bede, A.D. 637-735. The map-makers were generally agreed that the world was flat, though they differed as to whether it was an 'oblong-square', or oval, orcircular. The fathers of the Christian Church did not encourage scientific pursuits and it was not until the period c. A.D. 1100-1250 that the sphericity of the globe began to find acceptance among philosophers and scholars. The earliest known 'global maps' (the Nuremberg globe, by Behaim, and another, known as the Laon globe) are both dated 1492, the year in which Columbus began his first major voyage.

Masonic interest in these matters seems to have developed in a very gradual and somewhat roundabout way. Most of our early ritual texts contain questions relating to the 'lights of the lodge', always three in number, at first denoting the Master, warden, and fellow-craft. Later they are said to represent the 'Sun, Moon, and Master', and c. 1727-1730 we find the expansions 'Sun to rule the Day, Moon, the Night', the first faint hint of an interest in the celestial bodies. By this time, 1730, Masonry Dissected indicates in its catechism that the Lodge is 'as high as the Heavens' and as deep as 'the Centre of the Earth', and is covered by 'A cloudy Canopy of divers colours (or the Clouds)'.

The next main link in the chain of evolution is in the French exposure L 'Ordre des Francs-Macons Trahi, 1745, which repeated all the details summarized from Masonry Dissected, above, but added a new piece of interpretation to the dimensions:

Q. Why do you answer thus?

A. To indicate, that Free-Masons are spread over all the Earth, & all together they form nevertheless only one Lodge.

Here is the first hint, in any Masonic ritual, of the idea which was soon to be enshrined in the phrase 'Masonry universal'. In the French texts generally, the canopy is now 'studded with golden stars', but the *Trahi* has another embellishment of rather greater interest. At the centre of the combined E.A.-F.C. 'Floor-drawing' or Tracing Board, there is an 'armillary sphere', i.e., akind of skeleton celestial globe consisting of metal strap rings or hoops, used in the study of astronomy. This was, apparently, the first precursor of the handsome globes which became a distinctive feature in the wealthier and well-equipped Lodges in the late 18th and 19th centuries.

The final evolutionary stages cannot be determined precisely, though they seem to be directly linked with the words 'Masonry universal' which appeared for the first time in *Three Distinct Knocks*, 1760, and then in J. & B., 1762:

*Mas.* Why...from the Survase to the Center of the Earth? *Ans.* Because that Masonry is Universal.

Both texts describe the Wardens' columns in detail and there is no hint at this stage, that they were surmounted with globes. Many later editions of these and other English exposures contain an engraved frontispiece showing the furniture of the lodges of their day, in which the globes are a regular feature, and we cannot be sure which came first, i.e., the handsome globes or the words 'Masonry universal' which may well have inspired their introduction.

The evidence of Lodge minutes and inventories suggests that it was not until the last quarter of the 18th century that the Lodges began to acquire these costly items of furniture and there is a strong possibility that the *globes with maps* were added to the Wardens' columns as an economy measure, in place of the far more expensive globes on ornamental stands.

Eventually the term 'Masonry universal' made its appearance in the Lectures, and in the 'Explanation of the Second Tracing Board' in which the *Masonic* description of Solomon's pillars stated that they were 'further adorned with two spherical balls, on which were delineated maps of the celestial and terrestrial globes (symbolizing) ...masonry universal'. The symbolism of the globes is wholly acceptable, but the statement that Solomon's pillars were adorned with globes depicting those two maps is nonsense, a flight of fancy, doubtless introduced by a fanatical 'improver' who was determined to make the ritual comply with his ill-founded theories.

# **NOTEABLE CANADIAN FREEMASONS**

Articles for this section are researched and prepared by R. W. Bro. Wallace McLeod -and his continued efforts in support of The Newsletter are appreciated.

#### **JOSEPH WILD (1834-1908)**

Joseph Wild was born in Summit, near Littleborough, Lancashire, England, in 1834. He was apprenticed to a civil engineer, and at the age of sixteen he was also licensed as a lay preacher for the Primitive Methodists. He moved to the United States in 1855, and for the next nine years he shuttled back and forth between Canada and the United States. During that period he received theological training in the States, though it is not clear whether he studied in Boston or in Concord, New Hampshire. He served as pastor of the Methodist Church in Belleville, Ontario, from 1864 to 1872, and concurrently he was Professor of Oriental Languages at Albert College. Then he moved to Brooklyn, New York, where he ministered successively in two congregations in the years 1872-1880. During these years he became a British Israelite; soon, by preaching "the moral and political preeminence of the white, Protestant, English speaking world", he began to attract immense crowds to hear his sermons. Then, in 1880, he was called to Bond Street Congregational Church, in Toronto; he preached there with tremendous success, and a newspaper survey of 1891 established that he was the most popular preacher in Ontario. It appears that he was involved in some sort of scandal connected with the church in 1893, though the details were successfully hushed up, and he was forced to resign his pulpit. From that date his career went downhill; he held charges in London, and then in Los Angeles for a few years, but then for some reason he disappears from view.

It seems that be became a Mason in Orion Lodge, No.717, G.R.N.Y., Brooklyn, during his sojourn there. Then, after his return to Canada, he affiliated with Doric Lodge, No.316, G.R.C., Toronto, in 1881. He never went through the chairs. He died in Brooklyn, New York, on 18 August 1008, and was buried from Bond Street in Toronto, with a Masonic service, which drew one of the largest crowds ever seen in the church.

*Sources of InformatIon:* W. Stewart Wallace, Macmlllan Dictionary of Canadian Biography, 4th edition, revised by W. A. McKay (Toronto, 1978), 887-888; R. J. Helmstadter, Dictionary of Canadian Biography, volume 13 (forthcoming); the Office of the Grand Secretary, Hamilton.

# **QUESTIONS & ANSWERS**

The Questions & Answers section includes excerpts from a list of over 100 Q. & A. compiled and prepared by R. W. Bro. Frank J. Bruce. These questions were collected by the Education Committee of Toronto District #3 from 1976 through 1978. The answers were supplied by W. Bro. Harry Carr (past secretary and editor of Quatuor Coronati Lodge #2076 U.K.). Our thanks to R. W. Bro. Frank Bruce for making them available for use in the NEWSLETTER.

Question 51: What is the explanation of the symbol 'The AII-Seeing Eye'?

**Answer 51:** The 'eye' was a symbol for Osiris among the ancient Egyptians, who revered him as the giver of all blessings, life, light and health. Wallis Budge, in his work on the 'Rosetta Stone', quotes the 'eye' symbol as representing the Sun-god Ra.

The term 'All-Seeing Eye' as we use it in Masonry, is probably derived from Proverbs 15, v. 3: The eyes of the Lord are in every place, beholding the evil and the good.

In Hebrew and Christian symbolism, as in Masonry, it denotes the Omniscient, Omnipresent God, the G.A.O.T.U. There is a tendency, occasionally, to interpret it as a symbol of reward to the righteous and of retribution to evildoers, but there is no limit to the range of explanations that the symbol may evoke. I prefer to see it as the ever-watchful Eye of the Divine Creator, whom we worship as our Father in Heaven.

Anyone of these brief hints may appeal to you, but the meaning that really matters is the one that you will work out for yourself. Masonic symbolism opens up a whole world of study and the answers that you find by your own efforts will always be the most rewarding and satisfying.

Question 52: Why are Masonic Lodges declared 'WORSHIPFUL'?

**Answer 52:** When this word is applied to Masonic Lodges, or Grand Lodges, it means 'Notable or outstanding in respect of some good quality or property;

distinguished ...reputable, honourable'. The word is now archaic, and the Oxford English Dictionary cites the earliest use of the word before 1300.

The same word, when used in addressing a Mason, or speaking of him, means 'Distinguished in respect of character or rank; entitled to honour or respect on this account'. It is interesting to note that the two oldest versions of our Old Charges, i.e. the Regius MS., c1390, and the Cooke MS., c1410, both use the word 'worship' (in antique spellings) in the second definition. O.E.D, cites the word in this sense before 1340.

Question 53: What is meant by 'regular step'?

Answer 53: Regular, in this case, means recognized or correct.

The word implies that it must be made in the manner in which the candidate has been instructed. Indeed, the step is actually a part of the mode or recognition that follows it; hence the emphasis on the word 'regular'.

**Question 54:** Why do we use the word 'hele' and what is the true meaning of the word?

Answer 54: Hele, heal; also heyle, heele, and Scottish heile, heill:

1. To hide, conceal; to keep secret (c. 975). Also, to practise concealment, keep a secret, keep silence. (c. 1300). The *O.E.D.* adds a note that in these meanings, thF! word is now obsolete. except in dialect.

2. To cover, cover in. Still in local use, especially in senses (a) to cover (roots, seeds, etc.) with earth. (c. 1200). (b) to cover with slates or tiles to roof. Although there are several examples which suggest a 'hayl' pronunciation, *O.E.D.* now makes it rhyme with 'kneel'. The vowel sound has always been a problem and a check of the seventeen oldest ritual documents that have survived to this day, dates 1696-1762 shows:

Seven texts give 'heal and conceal' in various spellings but with the 'heel' pronunciation. Four of the latest, 1726-1762, give 'hale and conceal', in various spellings but rhyming with 'fail'. Four give 'hear and conceal'. One gives 'hide and conceal'; another gives 'hold and conceal'.

Why do we use the word? Because it is a key word in our obligation or secrecy. It means (in No.1 above) exactly what we are trying to say, and that is the word that appeared in our oldest text, *The Edinburgh Register House MS.*, 1696:

The first (point) is heill and conceal! (See Carr, *The Freemason at Work*, pp. 326-8).

#### NOTICE

Copies of the Hardbound Edition of Volume 10 have been received from the Bookbinders and are available now

(Vol. 10 includes all four quarterly 1990-91 issues)

\$15.00 Each Send Orders / Payments to:

'Masonic Education Newsletter' Attn: Robt. A. Barnett - Editor P .0. Box 4217, London, Ontario N5W 5J1